



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS
Docket No: 3357-99
29 December 1999

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 15 December 1999. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 28 December 1992 at age 22. Your record reflects that your last enlisted performance evaluation for the period 16 July to 27 December 1996 stated that you had significant problems and did not recommend your retention or advancement. On 27 December 1996 you were honorably separated, as an FN (E-3), and transferred to the Naval Reserve. At that time you were assigned a reenlistment code of RE-4.

The Board concluded that your substandard performance was sufficient to support the assignment of the RE-4 reenlistment code. Additionally, individuals separated in pay grade E-3 after four years of active duty must be assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code if they are not recommended for advancement. It means that you may not reenlist in the Navy without prior approval of the Commander, Navy Personnel Command. The Board thus concluded that there is no error or injustice in your reenlistment code. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and

votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director